1	Robert Corn-Revere (D.C. Bar No. 375415, admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> )		
2	DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP 1919 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Suite 800		
3	Washington, DC 20006-3401 Telephone: (202) 973-4225		
4	Facsimile: (202) 973-4499		
5	Email: robertcornrevere@dwt.com		
6	James C. Grant (Wash. Bar No. 14358, add	mitted pro hac vice)	
7	DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP 920 Fifth Ave, Suite 3300		
8	Seattle, WA 98104-1610		
9	Telephone: (206) 757-8096 Facsimile: (206) 757-7096		
10	Email: jamesgrant@dwt.com		
11	Counsel for Michael Lacey and James Lar	kin	
12	IN THE UNITED STA	ATES DISTRICT COURT	
13	FOR THE DIST	RICT OF ARIZONA	
14	United States of America,	No. CR-18-422-PHX-SMB	
15	Plaintiff,	MOTION FOR LEAVE TO JOINTLY	
16 17	v.	FILE MOTION TO DISMISS AND EXCEED PAGE LIMITATION	
	Michael Lacey, et al.,		
18	Defendants.		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

28

Defendants Michael Lacey, James Larkin, John Brunst and Scott Spear, by and through their undersigned attorneys, respectfully request leave to file a joint motion to dismiss the Superseding Indictment in this matter (Doc. 230), and to exceed the page limits otherwise applicable under LRCiv. 7.2(e). The motion raises serious constitutional issues regarding the Indictment, which runs to 92 pages and 100 counts. Defendants seek dismissal because the Indictment does not set forth facts sufficient to support the charges alleged, as required by the Fifth and Sixth Amendments, and disregards fundamental First Amendment principles applicable in this context, where the government is prosecuting Defendants for their roles as publishers of an online forum for third-party speech. The government's approach of seeking to impose vicarious liability in this regard has been rejected previously by several courts in cases specifically concerning Backpage.com, and it is important for the Court to understand this history. Defendants respectfully request that the Court allow sufficient page limits to address these issues sufficiently.

Defendants further believe that it also serves judicial efficiency to address these matters in a joint motion rather than in separate motions. Defendants therefore ask that the Court permit Defendants to file a joint motion that is 45 pages in length (which is fewer pages than would be permitted if each Defendant filed separately).

Pursuant to LRCrim 12.1 and LRCiv 7.2(e)(2), Defendants therefore ask that the Court grant this request to file a joint motion as described, a copy of which is being submitted with this motion.

DATED this 22nd day of April, 2019.

DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP
s/ James C. Grant
s/Robert L. Corn-Revere
Attorneys for Michael Lacey and James Larkin

## 

1	Pursuant to the District's Electronic Case Filing Administrative Policies and		
2	Procedures Manual (May 2018) § $II(C)(3)$ , James C. Grant hereby attests that all other signatories listed, and on whose behalf this filing is submitted, concur in the		
3	filing's content and have authorized its filing.		
4	s/ Paul J. Cambria, Jr.		
5	LIPSITZ GREEN SCIME CAMBRIA LLP		
	Attorneys for Michael Lacey		
6	s/ Thomas H. Bienert, Jr.		
7	BIENERT KATZMAN, PLC		
8	Attorneys for James Larkin		
9	s/ Ariel A. Neuman		
10	BIRD MARELLA BOXER WOLPERT NESSIM		
	DROOKS LINCENBERG AND RHOW		
11	Attorneys for John Brunst		
12	s/Bruce Feder		
13	FEDER LAW OFFICE PA		
14	Attorney for Scott Spear		
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on April 22, 2019, a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was electronically filed with the Clerk of the United States District Court of the District of Arizona by using the CM/ECF system, and that service will be accomplished by the CM/ECF system to all counsel of record.

s/James C. Grant

Attorney for Michael Lacey and James Larkin